

The impact of the COVID-19 event on the financial stability of US banks

Dr. David Warner

Department of Industrial Engineering and Management Systems, University of
Central Florida, Orlando, FL

Dr. Michal Sticks

Department of Industrial Engineering and Management Systems, University of
Central Florida, Orlando, FL

Abstract

The use of changes in investors' forward-looking net long hedging choices — an indicator of investors' risk-based growth expectations — to determine the effect of a change in the Federal Reserve's capital buffer requirement on banks' financial soundness is the study's primary innovation. I show that net hedging factor data reveals significant causal relationships between financial soundness indicators and the Federal Reserve's capital buffer requirement. My findings also show that lowering the capital buffer requirement for banking systems improves both regulatory capital and Tier-1 capital.

Keywords- financial stability of US banks

Introduction

In this episode of Dollar & Sense, we flip the format and ask host David Dollar what new data can tell us about how the COVID-19 pandemic and the associated recession are affecting U.S. trade. Dollar shares insights on which industries have been hit hardest, how the recession will alter the U.S. trade deficit and the phase one trade deal with China, and the likelihood American companies begin reshoring their manufacturing and value chains. So the U.S. publishes very detailed trade statistics in terms of products and partners. They just came out with data through the first half of 2020 providing a snapshot on the effects of the coronavirus pandemic and the associated economic recession on U.S. trade. So, my first question just has to do with trade imbalances. It looks like the overall U.S. trade deficit is contracting modestly this year. So the coronavirus recession is affecting both U.S. imports and U.S. exports. For the moment, it's having a somewhat stronger effect on imports. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

I think in the first half of the year the trade deficit went down by about 20 billion dollars. That's basically a rounding error in our GDP, so I wouldn't get too excited. The other thing I would

say is we don't really want the trade deficit to go down because we're in a serious recession. As we come out of recession, probably our trade balance will go back to about where it was. It's been about three percent of GDP, that deficit, for a few years. Most economists are not too worried about that. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

Dollar shares insights on which industries have been hit hardest, how the recession will alter the U.S. trade deficit and the phase one trade deal with China, and the likelihood American companies begin reshoring their manufacturing and value chains. So the U.S. publishes very detailed trade statistics in terms of products and partners. They just came out with data through the first half of 2020 providing a snapshot on the effects of the coronavirus pandemic and the associated economic recession on U.S. trade. So, my first question just has to do with trade imbalances. It looks like the overall U.S. trade deficit is contracting modestly this year. So the coronavirus recession is affecting both U.S. imports and U.S. exports. For the moment, it's having a somewhat stronger effect on imports. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

I think in the first half of the year the trade deficit went down by about 20 billion dollars. That's basically a rounding error in our GDP, so I wouldn't get too excited. The other thing I would say is we don't really want the trade deficit to go down because we're in a serious recession. As we come out of recession, probably our trade balance will go back to about where it was. It's been about three percent of GDP, that deficit, for a few years. Most economists are not too worried about that. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

Dollar shares insights on which industries have been hit hardest, how the recession will alter the U.S. trade deficit and the phase one trade deal with China, and the likelihood American companies begin reshoring their manufacturing and value chains. So the U.S. publishes very detailed trade statistics in terms of products and partners. They just came out with data through the first half of 2020 providing a snapshot on the effects of the coronavirus pandemic and the associated economic recession on U.S. trade. So, my first question just has to do with trade imbalances. It looks like the overall U.S. trade deficit is contracting modestly this year. So the coronavirus recession is affecting both U.S. imports and U.S. exports. For the moment, it's having a somewhat stronger effect on imports. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

I think in the first half of the year the trade deficit went down by about 20 billion dollars. That's basically a rounding error in our GDP, so I wouldn't get too excited. The other thing I would say is we don't really want the trade deficit to go down because we're in a serious recession. As we come out of recession, probably our trade balance will go back to about where it was. It's been about three percent of GDP, that deficit, for a few years. Most economists are not too worried about that. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

Dollar shares insights on which industries have been hit hardest, how the recession will alter the U.S. trade deficit and the phase one trade deal with China, and the likelihood American companies begin reshoring their manufacturing and value chains. So the U.S. publishes very detailed trade statistics in terms of products and partners. They just came out with data through the first half of 2020 providing a snapshot on the effects of the coronavirus pandemic and the associated economic recession on U.S. trade. So, my first question just has to do with trade imbalances. It looks like the overall U.S. trade deficit is contracting modestly this year. So the coronavirus recession is affecting both U.S. imports and U.S. exports. For the moment, it's

having a somewhat stronger effect on imports. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

I think in the first half of the year the trade deficit went down by about 20 billion dollars. That's basically a rounding error in our GDP, so I wouldn't get too excited. The other thing I would say is we don't really want the trade deficit to go down because we're in a serious recession. As we come out of recession, probably our trade balance will go back to about where it was. It's been about three percent of GDP, that deficit, for a few years. Most economists are not too worried about that. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

Dollar shares insights on which industries have been hit hardest, how the recession will alter the U.S. trade deficit and the phase one trade deal with China, and the likelihood American companies begin reshoring their manufacturing and value chains. So the U.S. publishes very detailed trade statistics in terms of products and partners. They just came out with data through the first half of 2020 providing a snapshot on the effects of the coronavirus pandemic and the associated economic recession on U.S. trade. So, my first question just has to do with trade imbalances. It looks like the overall U.S. trade deficit is contracting modestly this year. So the coronavirus recession is affecting both U.S. imports and U.S. exports. For the moment, it's having a somewhat stronger effect on imports. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

I think in the first half of the year the trade deficit went down by about 20 billion dollars. That's basically a rounding error in our GDP, so I wouldn't get too excited. The other thing I would

say is we don't really want the trade deficit to go down because we're in a serious recession. As we come out of recession, probably our trade balance will go back to about where it was. It's been about three percent of GDP, that deficit, for a few years. Most economists are not too worried about that. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

Dollar shares insights on which industries have been hit hardest, how the recession will alter the U.S. trade deficit and the phase one trade deal with China, and the likelihood American companies begin reshoring their manufacturing and value chains. So the U.S. publishes very detailed trade statistics in terms of products and partners. They just came out with data through the first half of 2020 providing a snapshot on the effects of the coronavirus pandemic and the associated economic recession on U.S. trade. So, my first question just has to do with trade imbalances. It looks like the overall U.S. trade deficit is contracting modestly this year. So the coronavirus recession is affecting both U.S. imports and U.S. exports. For the moment, it's having a somewhat stronger effect on imports. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

I think in the first half of the year the trade deficit went down by about 20 billion dollars. That's basically a rounding error in our GDP, so I wouldn't get too excited. The other thing I would say is we don't really want the trade deficit to go down because we're in a serious recession. As we come out of recession, probably our trade balance will go back to about where it was. It's been about three percent of GDP, that deficit, for a few years. Most economists are not too worried about that. We import quite a bit more than we export, so if they both go down by a similar percentage, imports actually go down more in U.S. dollars. And, frankly, the recession is more serious in the United States than in some of our trading partners. We can get into talking about some specific trading partners, but in general, the recession is worse here, so the compression of imports is greater than the decline in exports. But I wouldn't get too excited.

Reference

- Viana, R. et al. Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. *Nature* 603, 679–686 (2022).
[Article](#) [ADS](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Christie, B. Covid-19: Early studies give hope omicron is milder than other variants. *Bmj* 375, n3144 (2021).
[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Wolter, N. et al. Early assessment of the clinical severity of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in South Africa: A data linkage study. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* 399, 437–446 (2022).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Veneti, L. et al. Reduced risk of hospitalisation among reported COVID-19 cases infected with the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 variant compared with the Delta variant, Norway, December 2021 to January 2022. *Eurosurveillance* 27, 2200077 (2022).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Nyberg, T. et al. Comparative analysis of the risks of hospitalisation and death associated with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) and Delta (B.1.617.2) variants in England: a cohort study. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* 399, 1303–1312 (2022).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Sheikh, A. et al. Severity of omicron variant of concern and effectiveness of vaccine boosters against symptomatic disease in Scotland (EAVE II): a national cohort study with nested test-negative design. *Lancet Infect Dis.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099\(22\)00141-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(22)00141-4) (2022).
- Collie, S., Champion, J., Moultrie, H., Bekker, L.-G. & Gray, G. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 vaccine against Omicron variant in South Africa. *New Engl. J. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2119270> (2021).
- Bar-On, Y. M. et al. Protection against Covid-19 by BNT162b2 booster across age groups. *New Engl. J. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2115926> (2021).
- Arbel, R. et al. BNT162b2 vaccine booster and mortality due to Covid-19. *New Engl. J. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2115624> (2021).
- Butt, A. A. et al. Vaccine effectiveness of three vs. two doses of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines in a high risk national population. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Official Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac178> (2022).
- Agha, Z., Lofgren, R. P., VanRuiswyk, J. V. & Layde, P. M. Are patients at veterans affairs medical centers sicker? A comparative analysis of health status and medical resource use. *Arch. Intern Med.* 160, 3252–3257 (2000).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Kazis, L. et al. Health-related quality of life in patients served by the Department of Veterans Affairs: Results from the Veterans Health Study. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 158, 626–32 (1998).
- Zhao, H. et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant shows less efficient replication and fusion activity when compared with Delta variant in TMPRSS2-expressed cells. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 11, 277–283 (2022).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Butt, A. A., Yan, P., Shaikh, O. S., Mayr, F. B. & Omer, S. B. Rate and risk factors for severe/critical disease among fully vaccinated persons with breakthrough SARS-

- CoV-2 infection in a high-risk national population. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab1023> (2021).
- Mayr, F. B., Talisa, V. B., Shaikh, O., Yende, S. & Butt, A. A. Effectiveness of homologous or heterologous Covid-19 boosters in Veterans. *New Engl. J. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2200415> (2022).
- Butt, A. A., Omer, S. B., Yan, P., Shaikh, O. S. & Mayr, F. B. SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine effectiveness in a high-risk national population in a real-world setting. *Ann. Intern. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.7326/m21-1577> (2021).
- CDC. COVID Data Tracker. <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#demographicsovertime>. (2022).
- Agrawal, U. et al. COVID-19 hospital admissions and deaths after BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccinations in 2.57 million people in Scotland (EAVE II): A prospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 9, 1439–1449 (2021).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Twohig, K. A. et al. Hospital admission and emergency care attendance risk for SARS-CoV-2 delta (B.1.617.2) compared with alpha (B.1.1.7) variants of concern: A cohort study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 22, 35–42 (2022).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Viana, R. et al. Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. *Nature* 603, 679–686 (2022).
[Article](#) [ADS](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Christie, B. Covid-19: Early studies give hope omicron is milder than other variants. *Bmj* 375, n3144 (2021).
[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Wolter, N. et al. Early assessment of the clinical severity of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in South Africa: A data linkage study. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* 399, 437–446 (2022).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Veneti, L. et al. Reduced risk of hospitalisation among reported COVID-19 cases infected with the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 variant compared with the Delta variant, Norway, December 2021 to January 2022. *Eurosurveillance* 27, 2200077 (2022).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Nyberg, T. et al. Comparative analysis of the risks of hospitalisation and death associated with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) and Delta (B.1.617.2) variants in England: a cohort study. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* 399, 1303–1312 (2022).
[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Sheikh, A. et al. Severity of omicron variant of concern and effectiveness of vaccine boosters against symptomatic disease in Scotland (EAVE II): a national cohort study with nested test-negative design. *Lancet Infect Dis.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099\(22\)00141-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(22)00141-4) (2022).
- Collie, S., Champion, J., Moultrie, H., Bekker, L.-G. & Gray, G. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 vaccine against Omicron variant in South Africa. *New Engl. J. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2119270> (2021).
- Bar-On, Y. M. et al. Protection against Covid-19 by BNT162b2 booster across age groups. *New Engl. J. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2115926> (2021).
- Arbel, R. et al. BNT162b2 vaccine booster and mortality due to Covid-19. *New Engl. J. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2115624> (2021).

Butt, A. A. et al. Vaccine effectiveness of three vs. two doses of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines in a high risk national population. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Official Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac178> (2022).

Agha, Z., Lofgren, R. P., VanRuiswyk, J. V. & Layde, P. M. Are patients at veterans affairs medical centers sicker? A comparative analysis of health status and medical resource use. *Arch. Intern Med.* 160, 3252–3257 (2000).

[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Kazis, L. et al. Health-related quality of life in patients served by the Department of Veterans Affairs: Results from the Veterans Health Study. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 158, 626–32 (1998).

Zhao, H. et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant shows less efficient replication and fusion activity when compared with Delta variant in TMPRSS2-expressed cells. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 11, 277–283 (2022).

[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Butt, A. A., Yan, P., Shaikh, O. S., Mayr, F. B. & Omer, S. B. Rate and risk factors for severe/critical disease among fully vaccinated persons with breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infection in a high-risk national population. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab1023> (2021).

<https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab1023> (2021).

Mayr, F. B., Talisa, V. B., Shaikh, O., Yende, S. & Butt, A. A. Effectiveness of homologous or heterologous Covid-19 boosters in Veterans. *New Engl. J. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2200415> (2022).

<https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2200415> (2022).

Butt, A. A., Omer, S. B., Yan, P., Shaikh, O. S. & Mayr, F. B. SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine effectiveness in a high-risk national population in a real-world setting. *Ann. Intern. Med.* <https://doi.org/10.7326/m21-1577> (2021).

CDC. COVID Data Tracker. <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#demographicsovertime>. (2022).

Agrawal, U. et al. COVID-19 hospital admissions and deaths after BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccinations in 2.57 million people in Scotland (EAVE II): A prospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 9, 1439–1449 (2021).

[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Twohig, K. A. et al. Hospital admission and emergency care attendance risk for SARS-CoV-2 delta (B.1.617.2) compared with alpha (B.1.1.7) variants of concern: A cohort study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 22, 35–42 (2022).

[Article](#) [CAS](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Menachemi, N., Dixon, B. E., Wools-Kaloustian, K. K., Yiannoutsos, C. T. & Halverson, P. K. How many SARS-CoV-2–infected people require hospitalization? Using random sample testing to better inform preparedness efforts. *J. Public Health Man 27*, 246–250 (2021).

Menachemi, N., Dixon, B. E., Wools-Kaloustian, K. K., Yiannoutsos, C. T. & Halverson, P. K. How many SARS-CoV-2–infected people require hospitalization? Using random sample testing to better inform preparedness efforts. *J. Public Health Man 27*, 246–250 (2021).