Analyzing Urban Travel Behavior Components in Tehran: Evidence from Iran

Dr. Alizadeh Suber

Department of Geography and Urban Planning, Faculty of Humanities, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran

Dr. Faezeh Amir

Department of Geography and Urban Planning, Faculty of Humanities, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran

Shafepour Nadaf

Department of Geography and Urban Planning, Faculty of Humanities, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran

Corresponding author: <u>suberali1975@hotmail.com</u>

Abstract

This study aims to investigate the nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociodemographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity

Keywords: travel behavior; socio-demographic characteristics; travel mode, and Iran

Introduction

The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociodemographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS

software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity.

They analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. They analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity.

We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior

Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity.

The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity.

We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity.

They analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. They analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity.

We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is,

travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups.

They analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity.

We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity.

We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using

SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity. The nature and characteristics of urban travel behaviour in the metropolitan region of Tehran, Iran's capital. To that end, we assessed six main Travel Behavior Components using the perspectives of 822 participants via a questionnaire survey. (TBCs)—that is, travel mode, travel time, travel location, travel frequency, travel choice, and travel purpose—through the lens of five distinct sociode-mographic characteristics, namely, gender, age, family structure, educational and occupational groups. We analysed priorities and preferences related to TBCs across various social groups and explored the impact of preferences of different social groups on TBCs in the Tehran metropolitan area using SPSS26, MAXQDA, and Structural Equation Model (SEM) via AMOS software. The findings show that, first and foremost, the propensity.

References

- 1. Alizadeh, H.; Sharifi, A. Assessing Resilience of Urban Critical Infrastructure Networks: A Case Study of Ahvaz, Iran. Sustain- ability 2020, 12, 3691.
- 2. Sharifi, A. Co-benefits and synergies between urban climate change mitigation and adaptation measures: A literature review. Sci. Total. Environ. 2020, 750, 141642.
- 3. Mahmoudi, R.; Shetab-Boushehri, S.-N.; Hejazi, S.R.; Emrouznejad, A. Determining the relative importance of sustainability evaluation criteria of urban transportation network. Sustain. Cities Soc. 2019, 47, 101493.
- 4. Wey, W.-M.; Huang, J.-Y. Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for livable City's quality of life. Habitat. Int. 2018, 82, 9–27.
- 5. Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Han, S.; Liu, Y. Urban transport carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions by commuters in rapidly developing Cities: The comparative study of Beijing and Xi'an in China. Transp. Res. D Transp. Environ. 2019, 68, 65–83.
- 6. Senecal, P.; Leach, F. Diversity in transportation: Why a mix of propulsion technologies is the way forward for the future fleet. Results Eng. 2019, 4, 100060.

- 7. Aljoufie, M. The Impact Assessment of Increasing Population Density on Jeddah Road Transportation Using Spatial-Temporal Analysis. Sustainability 2021, 13, 1455.
- 8. Gwilliam, K. Urban transport in developing countries. Transp. Rev. 2003, 23, 197–216.
- 9. Wang, C.; Hess, D.B. Role of Urban Big Data in Travel Behavior Research. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2020, 2675, 222–233.
- 10. 4. Wey, W.-M.; Huang, J.-Y. Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for livable City's quality of life. Habitat. Int. 2018, 82, 9–27.
- 11. 5. Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Han, S.; Liu, Y. Urban transport carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions by commuters in rapidly developing Cities: The comparative study of Beijing and Xi'an in China. Transp. Res. D Transp. Environ. 2019, 68, 65–83.
- 12. 6. Senecal, P.; Leach, F. Diversity in transportation: Why a mix of propulsion technologies is the way forward for the future fleet. Results Eng. 2019, 4, 100060.
- 13. 7. Aljoufie, M. The Impact Assessment of Increasing Population Density on Jeddah Road Transportation Using Spatial-Temporal Analysis. Sustainability 2021, 13, 1455.
- 14. 8. Gwilliam, K. Urban transport in developing countries. Transp. Rev. 2003, 23, 197–216.
- 15. Wang, C.; Hess, D.B. Role of Urban Big Data in Travel Behavior Research. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2020, 2675, 222–233.
- 16. Kitamura, R. Life-style and travel demand. Transportation 2009, 36, 679–710.
- 17. Feng, J. The influence of built environment on travel behavior of the elderly in urban China. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 2017, 52, 619–633.
- 18. Yao, M.; Wang, D. Mobility and travel behavior in urban China: The role of institutional factors. Transp. Policy 2018, 69, 122–131.
- 19. 4. Wey, W.-M.; Huang, J.-Y. Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for livable City's quality of life. Habitat. Int. 2018, 82, 9–27.
- 20. 5. Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Han, S.; Liu, Y. Urban transport carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions by commuters in rapidly developing Cities: The comparative study of Beijing and Xi'an in China. Transp. Res. D Transp. Environ. 2019, 68, 65–83.
- 21. 6. Senecal, P.; Leach, F. Diversity in transportation: Why a mix of propulsion technologies is the way forward for the future fleet. Results Eng. 2019, 4, 100060.

- 22. 7. Aljoufie, M. The Impact Assessment of Increasing Population Density on Jeddah Road Transportation Using Spatial-Temporal Analysis. Sustainability 2021, 13, 1455.
- 23. 8. Gwilliam, K. Urban transport in developing countries. Transp. Rev. 2003, 23, 197–216.
- 24. 9. Wang, C.; Hess, D.B. Role of Urban Big Data in Travel Behavior Research. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2020, 2675, 222–233.
- 25. 10. Kitamura, R. Life-style and travel demand. Transportation 2009, 36, 679–710.
- 26. 11. Feng, J. The influence of built environment on travel behavior of the elderly in urban China. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 2017, 52, 619–633.
- 27. 12. Yao, M.; Wang, D. Mobility and travel behavior in urban China: The role of institutional factors. Transp. Policy 2018, 69, 122–131.
- 28. 4. Wey, W.-M.; Huang, J.-Y. Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for livable City's quality of life. Habitat. Int. 2018, 82, 9–27.
- 29. 5. Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Han, S.; Liu, Y. Urban transport carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions by commuters in rapidly developing Cities: The comparative study of Beijing and Xi'an in China. Transp. Res. D Transp. Environ. 2019, 68, 65–83.
- 30. 6. Senecal, P.; Leach, F. Diversity in transportation: Why a mix of propulsion technologies is the way forward for the future fleet. Results Eng. 2019, 4, 100060.
- 31. 7. Aljoufie, M. The Impact Assessment of Increasing Population Density on Jeddah Road Transportation Using Spatial-Temporal Analysis. Sustainability 2021, 13, 1455.
- 32. 8. Gwilliam, K. Urban transport in developing countries. Transp. Rev. 2003, 23, 197–216.
- 33. 9. Wang, C.; Hess, D.B. Role of Urban Big Data in Travel Behavior Research. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2020, 2675, 222–233.
- 34. 10. Kitamura, R. Life-style and travel demand. Transportation 2009, 36, 679–710.
- 35. 11. Feng, J. The influence of built environment on travel behavior of the elderly in urban China. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 2017, 52, 619–633.
- 36. 12. Yao, M.; Wang, D. Mobility and travel behavior in urban China: The role of institutional factors. Transp. Policy 2018, 69, 122–131.
- 37. 4. Wey, W.-M.; Huang, J.-Y. Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for livable City's quality of life. Habitat. Int. 2018, 82, 9–27.

- 38. 5. Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Han, S.; Liu, Y. Urban transport carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions by commuters in rapidly developing Cities: The comparative study of Beijing and Xi'an in China. Transp. Res. D Transp. Environ. 2019, 68, 65–83.
- 39. 6. Senecal, P.; Leach, F. Diversity in transportation: Why a mix of propulsion technologies is the way forward for the future fleet. Results Eng. 2019, 4, 100060.
- 40. 7. Aljoufie, M. The Impact Assessment of Increasing Population Density on Jeddah Road Transportation Using Spatial-Temporal Analysis. Sustainability 2021, 13, 1455.
- 41. 8. Gwilliam, K. Urban transport in developing countries. Transp. Rev. 2003, 23, 197–216.
- 42. 9. Wang, C.; Hess, D.B. Role of Urban Big Data in Travel Behavior Research. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2020, 2675, 222–233.
- 43. 10. Kitamura, R. Life-style and travel demand. Transportation 2009, 36, 679–710.
- 44. 11. Feng, J. The influence of built environment on travel behavior of the elderly in urban China. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 2017, 52, 619–633.
- 45. 12. Yao, M.; Wang, D. Mobility and travel behavior in urban China: The role of institutional factors. Transp. Policy 2018, 69, 122–131.
- 46. 4. Wey, W.-M.; Huang, J.-Y. Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for livable City's quality of life. Habitat. Int. 2018, 82, 9–27.
- 47. 5. Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Han, S.; Liu, Y. Urban transport carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions by commuters in rapidly developing Cities: The comparative study of Beijing and Xi'an in China. Transp. Res. D Transp. Environ. 2019, 68, 65–83.
- 48. 6. Senecal, P.; Leach, F. Diversity in transportation: Why a mix of propulsion technologies is the way forward for the future fleet. Results Eng. 2019, 4, 100060.
- 49. 7. Aljoufie, M. The Impact Assessment of Increasing Population Density on Jeddah Road Transportation Using Spatial-Temporal Analysis. Sustainability 2021, 13, 1455.
- 50. 8. Gwilliam, K. Urban transport in developing countries. Transp. Rev. 2003, 23, 197–216.
- 51. 9. Wang, C.; Hess, D.B. Role of Urban Big Data in Travel Behavior Research. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2020, 2675, 222–233.
- 52. 10. Kitamura, R. Life-style and travel demand. Transportation 2009, 36, 679–710.
- 53. 11. Feng, J. The influence of built environment on travel behavior of the elderly in urban China. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 2017, 52, 619–633.

- 54. 12. Yao, M.; Wang, D. Mobility and travel behavior in urban China: The role of institutional factors. Transp. Policy 2018, 69, 122–131.
- 55. 4. Wey, W.-M.; Huang, J.-Y. Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for livable City's quality of life. Habitat. Int. 2018, 82, 9–27.
- 56. 5. Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Han, S.; Liu, Y. Urban transport carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions by commuters in rapidly developing Cities: The comparative study of Beijing and Xi'an in China. Transp. Res. D Transp. Environ. 2019, 68, 65–83.
- 57. 6. Senecal, P.; Leach, F. Diversity in transportation: Why a mix of propulsion technologies is the way forward for the future fleet. Results Eng. 2019, 4, 100060.
- 58. 7. Aljoufie, M. The Impact Assessment of Increasing Population Density on Jeddah Road Transportation Using Spatial-Temporal Analysis. Sustainability 2021, 13, 1455.
- 59. 8. Gwilliam, K. Urban transport in developing countries. Transp. Rev. 2003, 23, 197–216.
- 60. 9. Wang, C.; Hess, D.B. Role of Urban Big Data in Travel Behavior Research. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2020, 2675, 222–233.
- 61. 10. Kitamura, R. Life-style and travel demand. Transportation 2009, 36, 679–710.
- 62. 11. Feng, J. The influence of built environment on travel behavior of the elderly in urban China. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 2017, 52, 619–633.
- 63. 12. Yao, M.; Wang, D. Mobility and travel behavior in urban China: The role of institutional factors. Transp. Policy 2018, 69, 122–131.
- 64. 10. Kitamura, R. Life-style and travel demand. Transportation 2009, 36, 679–710.
- 65. 11. Feng, J. The influence of built environment on travel behavior of the elderly in urban China. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 2017, 52, 619–633.
- 66. 12. Yao, M.; Wang, D. Mobility and travel behavior in urban China: The role of institutional factors. Transp. Policy 2018, 69, 122–131.
- 67. Kamruzzaman, M.; Shatu, F.; Habib, K.N. Travel behavior in Brisbane: Trends, saturation, patterns and changes. Transp. Res. A Policy. Pract. 2020, 140, 231–250.
- 68. Wang, D.; Zhou, M. The built environment and travel behavior in urban China: A literature review. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 2017, 52, 574–585.

- 69. Senikidou, N.; Basbas, S.; Georgiadis, G.; Campisi, T. The Role of Personal Identity Attributes in Transport Mode Choice: The Case Study of Thessaloniki, Greece. Soc. Sci. 2022, 11, 564.
- 70. Murtagh, N.; Gatersleben, B.; Uzzell, D. Multiple identities and travel mode choice for regular journeys. Transp. Res. Part F Traffic Psychol. Behav. 2012, 15, 514–524.
- 71. Heinen, E. Identity and travel behaviour: A cross-sectional study on commute mode choice and intention to change. Transp. Res. Part F Traffic Psychol. Behav. 2016, 43, 238–253.